

Chapter 6.

Predefined Predicates

Arithmetic

Testing and comparing

Input and output

Exception handling

Arithmetic

Arithmetic in Prolog

- Terms are not functions!
 - ◆ Expressions such as $3+2$, $4-7$, $5/5$ are ordinary Prolog terms
 - ◆ They do not carry out any arithmetic (do not compute a result)!

```
?- X = 3+2.      // just unifies X to 3+2
```

```
X = 3+2
```

```
yes
```

```
?- 3+2 = X.     // just unifies X to 3+2
```

```
X = 3+2
```

```
yes
```

Arithmetic in Prolog: The `is/2` predicate

- To actually evaluate arithmetic expressions, we must use the `is/2` predicate
- It unifies the left-hand-side term with the result of evaluating the right-hand-side term as an arithmetic expression:

```
?- X is 3+2.  
X = 5  
true.
```

```
?- 3+2 is 3+2.  
false.
```

```
?- 3+2 = 3+2.  
true.
```

- Integer Arithmetic
 - ◆ Whenever both arguments are integers
 - ◆ When a floating point value is whole (\rightarrow transformed into an integer).
- Floating point arithmetic
 - ◆ if an argument is a non-whole floating point

Arithmetic in Prolog

- Any standard arithmetic operator can be evaluated by “is/2”:

```
?- X is 2+3.           % X=5           addition
?- X is 5-3.           % X=2           subtraction
?- X is 3*4.           % X=12          multiplication
?- X is 7/2.           % X=3.5         division
?- X is round(7/2).    % X=4           rounding
?- X is 7//2.          % X=3           integer division
?- X is mod(7,2).      % X=1           modulo
?- X is abs(-5-3).     % X=8           absolute value
?- X is max(2.5,3).    % X=3           maximum
?- X is float(max(2.5,3)). % X=3.0       casting to float
?- X is random(1000).  % 1 <= X <= 1000
```

- SWI-Prolog supports arithmetic, trigonometry, casting, bitvector operations, and many more
 - ◆ See SWI-Prolog manual, Section “4.26 Arithmetic”
 - ◆ “?- help.” and then search for “arithmetic”

(Un)Safe Invocation Modes

- The `is/2` predicate requires the right-hand-side expression to be “ground” (at the time when `is/2` is executed):

```
?- 5 is X+2.  
ERROR: is/2: Arguments are not sufficiently instantiated  
?- X=3, Y is X+2.  
X = 3,  
Y = 5.
```

- Unsafe invocation modes = Invocation modes for which the predicate cannot be evaluated
 - ◆ Typical for many built-in predicates
 - ◆ E.g. `is/2` with mode `(?, nonground)` is unsafe


Defining Arithmetic Functions

- `arithmetic_function(+Head)`
 - ◆ Register a Prolog predicate as an arithmetic function.
 - ◆ `+Head` is either `Name/Arity`, an atom or a complex term.
 - ◆ The predicate must have one more argument than specified by `Head`.
 - ◆ The last argument is an unbound variable at call time and should be instantiated to an integer or floating point number.
 - ◆ The other arguments are the parameters.

- Example

```
% Contents of file "mean.pl":  
:- arithmetic_function(mean/2).  
  
mean(A, B, C) :- C is (A+B)/2.
```

```
?- consult(mean).  
mean compiled, 0.07 sec, 440 bytes.  
  
?- A is mean(4,5).  
A = 4.500000
```



Comparing Numbers and Terms

Comparing Numbers

- Operators that compare numbers **evaluate both arguments** and compare the results

Arithmetic

$x < y$

$x \leq y$

$x = y$

$x \neq y$

$x \geq y$

$x > y$

Prolog

$X < Y$

$X = < Y$

$X = : = Y$

$X = \backslash = Y$

$X > = Y$

$X > Y$

Comparing Numbers: Examples

No surprises

```
?- 2 < 4+1 .
```

```
yes
```

```
?- 4+3 > 5+5 .
```

```
no
```

Note the differences!

```
?- 4 = 4 .
```

```
yes
```

```
?- 2+2 = 4 .
```

```
no
```

```
?- 2+2 ::= 4 .
```

```
yes
```

```
?- 2+2 ::= 4.0 .
```

```
yes
```

Comparing Numbers

- Warning: The `is/2` predicate should only be used with unbound left operand

- ◆ Using it for testing equality can give unexpected results:

```
?- 1 is sin(pi/2).  
false.
```

- ◆ The above fails because `sin(pi/2)` evaluates to the float `1.0`, which does not **unify** with the integer `1`

- If equality of numbers is to be tested, `==/2` should be used

```
?- 1 == sin(pi/2).  
true.
```

- ◆ The above succeeds as expected because it does not just unify the LHS and RHS but performs comparison including evaluation and conversion

Comparing Terms

- Operators that compare terms **do not evaluate** their arguments

X @< Y	smaller
X @> Y	greater
X @=< Y	smaller or equal
X @>= Y	greater or equal
X == Y	identical
X \== Y	not identical

- They compare them according to the “standard order of terms”
 - ◆ Free Variable @< Number @< Atom @< String @< Compound Term
 - ◆ Bound variables are sorted like the term to which they are bound
 - ◆ Free variables are sorted by address
 - ◆ Atoms are sorted alphabetically. Strings too.
 - ◆ Numbers are sorted by value.
 - ◆ Compound terms are sorted first on their arity, then on their functor and finally on their arguments, leftmost first.

Comparing Terms: Standard Order

- “Standard order of terms”
 - ◆ Free Variable @< Number @< Atom @< String @< Compound Term
 - ◆ Bound variables are sorted like the term to which they are bound
 - ◆ Free variables are sorted by address
 - ◆ Atoms are sorted alphabetically. Strings too.
 - ◆ Numbers are sorted by value.
 - ◆ Compound terms are sorted first on their arity, then on their functor and finally on their arguments, leftmost first.

```
?- _G9669 @< _G9670.      true
?- X @< 1.                true
?- aaa @< b.              true
?- 2 @< 3.                true
?- f(b) @< a(a,a)         true
?- f(b,b) @< g(a,a).      true
?- f(b,b) @< f(c,a).      true
?- f(b,b) @< f(b,c).      true
```

```
?- X == Y.                false
?- X == X.                true
?- a == b.                false
?- a == a.                true
?- a == A.                false
?- a=A, a==A.             true
?- X=Y, X==Y.             true
?- X=2, Y=2, X==Y.       true
```

Special Comparisons / Tests (1)

- `subsumes(+Generic, +Specific)`

- ◆ Generic subsumes Specific if instantiation of Generic produces Specific.
- ◆ Subsumption is also called one-sided unification or semi-unification.

```
?- subsumes(f(X,Y),f(A,c)), writeln((f(X,Y),f(A,c))).  
  
subsumes(f(_G10520, c), f(_G10520, c))  
X = A,  
Y = c.
```

- ◆ Take care: As a side-effect Generic and Specific will be unified!

- `subsumes_chk(+Generic, +Specific)`

- ◆ As above but does not unify (only tests)!

```
?- subsumes_chk(f(X,Y),f(A,c)), writeln((f(X,Y),f(A,c))).  
  
subsumes_chk(f(_G9669, _G9670), f(_G9672, c))  
true.
```

Special Comparisons / Tests (2)

- `unifiable(X,Y,Unifier)`

- ◆ If `X` and `Y` are unifiable, `Unifier` is a list of `Var = Value` pairs representing the bindings required to unify `X` and `Y`.

```
?- unifiable(f(X,Y),f(A,c), Unifier),  
    writeln( (f(X,Y),f(A,c)) ).
```

```
f(_G11359, _G11360), f(_G11362, c)  
Unifier = [Y=c, A=X].
```

- ◆ This predicate can handle cyclic terms:

```
?- unifiable(f(X,Y),X, Unifier),  
    writeln( (f(X,Y),X) ).
```

```
f(_G11299, _G11300), _G11299  
Unifier = [X=f(X, Y)].
```

Testing Term Types

- `var/1`, `nonvar/1`
 - ◆ argument is a free variable
- `integer/1`, `float/1`, `rational/1`, `number/1`
 - ◆ `rational` includes `integer`, `number` is an `integer` or `float`
- `atom/1`
 - ◆ argument is an atom -- e.g. `abc`, `'John'`, ...
- `atomic/1`
 - ◆ argument is an atom or number
- `compound/1`
 - ◆ argument is a compound term -- e.g. `f(X,a)`
- `ground/1`
 - ◆ argument contains no free variables -- e.g. `1`, `a`, `f(X,a)` with `X` bound.
- `cyclic_term/1`, `acyclic_term/1`
 - ◆ argument does (not) contain cycles

Input and Output

File names and aliases

Prolog files

Explicit file I/O via streams (“ISO style”)

Implicit file I/O (“Edinburgh style”)

Implicit file I/O (“SWI style”)

Files – Naming and Locating

- File names use Unix notation (‘/’ as separator)
 - ◆ ... also on Windows!
 - ◆ To use Windows notation each ‘\’ must be doubled, because \ is the escape character in Prolog atoms! (e.g. \t represents a tab).
- Aliases
 - ◆ Aliases are defined via `file_search_path(+Alias, +Path)`
 - ◆ A file **location relative to an alias** is specified using the alias as a functor and adding the relative path as argument

```
?- file_search_path(demo, '/home/gk/prolog/demo').  
  
?- absolute_file_name(demo(myfile), Absolute).  
Absolute = '/home/gk/prolog/demo/myfile'  
true.
```

- ◆ `file_search_path/2` is used by `absolute_file_name/[2,3]` and all loading predicates
- ◆ `?- prolog_flag(verbose_file_search, true)` can be used to debug Prolog’s search for files.

Prolog Files – Compilation and Loading

- `consult(+File)`
 - ◆ Compile and load prolog files
- `use_module(+File)`
 - ◆ Compile and load prolog file that contains a “module”
 - ◆ Import all predicates from the export list of that module into the current module.
 - ◆ Note: The argument is the **file name**, not the module name!
- `make/0`
 - ◆ Check which loaded files have been modified and reconsult them

Streams – I/O with Explicit Src/Dest (1)

`open(+File, +Mode, ?Stream)` – open a stream

- *File* = file specifier | 'pipe(Command)'
 - ◆ A file specifier is a path or an alias.
- *Mode* = read, write, append or update.
 - ◆ Mode append positions the file-pointer at the end.
 - ◆ Mode update positions the file-pointer at the beginning of the file without truncating it.
- *Stream is*
 - ◆ a variable, in which case it is bound to an integer identifying the stream, or
 - ◆ an atom, in which case this atom will be the stream identifier.

Streams – I/O with Explicit Src/Dest (2)

current_stream(?File, ?Mode, +Stream) – get the status of a stream

current_stream(-File, ?Mode, -Stream) – enumerate all open streams

- *Mode = read | write*

set stream position(+Stream, +Pos) – Set current position of *Stream* to *Pos*.

Many more stream handling predicates (see manual)!

Explicit versus Implicit I/O

“Three ways to happiness”

Explicit “ISO style”

- File opening creates a stream identifier
 - ◆ open/3
- All other file operations take a Stream identifier as parameter
 - ◆ read/2, write/2
- Bad
 - ◆ pass stream to all predicates that might need I/O
 - ◆ ... or assert stream information

Implicit “Edingburg style”

- There is one global input and output
 - ◆ seeing/1, telling/1
- It is globally redirected
 - ◆ see/1, tell/1
 - ◆ seen/1, told/1
- Good
 - ◆ simple idiom for input switching
- Bad
 - ◆ global state
 - ◆ interference possible

Implicit “SWI style”

Files – I/O with implicit Src/Dest (1)

The **reading** predicates refer to the implicit **current input** stream

The **writing** predicates refer to the implicit **current output** stream.

- Initially both are connected to the terminal / console.
- The **current input** stream is
 - ◆ obtained using `seeing/1`.
 - ◆ changed using `see/1`.
- The **current output** stream is
 - ◆ obtained using `telling/1`
 - ◆ changed using `tell/1` or `append/1`.
- The arguments of these operations are either
 - ◆ a file
 - ◆ `user` (the reserved stream name for the terminal)
 - ◆ `pipe (Command)`

```
?- see(data).           % Start reading from file 'data'.
?- tell(user).         % Start writing to the terminal.
?- tell(pipe(lpr)).    % Start writing to the printer.
```

Using Implicit Source

- Application example: Consulting a file

```
consult(File) :-  
    seeing(OldFile), see(File), // set input to File  
    consult_loop, // read File and assert clauses  
    seen, see(OldFile). // reset input to OldFile
```

```
consult_loop :-  
    repeat,  
        read(Clause),  
        process(Clause),  
    !.
```

```
process(X) :-  
    X == end_of_file.
```

```
process(Clause) :-  
    assert(Clause),  
    fail.
```

succeeds infinitely

successful end

trigger backtracking

Using Implicit Source and Destination

- Application example: Copying a file

```
copy (Input, Output) :-  
    seeing (OldInput), see (Input),      // set new input  
    telling (OldInput), tell (Output),    // set new output  
    copy_implicit_io,                   // do the real copying  
    seen, see (OldInput),                // reset old input  
    told, telling (OldOutput).           // reset old output
```

```
copy_implicit_io :-  
    repeat,  
        read (X),  
        write (X),  
    X == end_of_file,  
    !.
```

- Recall that the idiom used in `copy_implicit_io/0` corresponds to a „do_until“ or „do_whilenot“ loop in imperative languages
 - ◆ See section on iteration via backtracking

Implicit versus Explicit I/O

“Three ways to happiness”

<u>Explicit</u> <u>“ISO style”</u>	<u>Implicit</u> <u>“Edingburg style”</u>	<u>Implicit</u> <u>“SWI style”</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● File opening creates a stream identifier <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ open/3 ● All other file operations take a Stream identifier as parameter <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ read/2, write/2 ➤ Bad <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ pass stream to all predicates that might need I/O or assert stream information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● There is one global input and output <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ seeing/1, telling/1 ● It is globally redirected <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ see/1, tell/1 ◆ seen/1, told/1 ➤ Good <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ simple idiom for input switching ➤ Bad <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ global state ◆ interference possible 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Output of a predicate redirected at call site <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ with_output_to/2 ● Special redirection to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ atoms ◆ strings ➤ Good <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ no crosscutting parameter passing ◆ no global state ➤ Bad <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ with_input_from/2 missing

Exception Handling

- Use `setup_call_cleanup(Setup, Call, Cleanup)` to close files properly in case of an exception

```
% Search a term in a file, succeed as soon as it is found and fail
% if end_of_file is reached before finding it:

term_in_file(Term, File) :-
    setup_call_cleanup(open(File, read, In),
                       term_in_stream(Term, In),
                       close(In)
    ).

term_in_stream(Term, In) :-
    repeat,
    read(In, T),
    ( T == end_of_file
    -> !, fail
    ; T = Term
    ).
```

Homework (Exam training)

To understand the practical effect of using ISO-style versus Edingburgh-style IO

- Find an example of problematic use of Edingburgh-style IO
- Rewrite the predicates on the previous two slides so that they use ISO-style explicit streams
- Discuss your solution with a colleague who solved the task independently.
- Discuss which style you would prefer and why